

Patent Application of
Ferdinand Schermel
for

Title: **TILTABLE MODULAR RECYCLE CONTAINER SYSTEM**

CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

US 5,683,030	MOORE	APR 95
US 5,445,397	EVANS	MAY 94
US 5,192,092	DIBENEDETTO	MAR 91
US 4,834,253	CRINE	APR 88
US 4,821,903	HAYES	APR 88
US 4,691,840	FERBRACHE	SEPT 87

BACKGROUND- FIELD OF INVENTION

This invention relates to tiltable wheeled containers used for refuse and recycling storage, hitching and movement.

BACKGROUND --DESCRIPTION OF PRIOR ART

Recycling bins have been used to store and move materials for over 40 years. The methods used are different for commercial and residential. Recycling was introduced long after refuse collection had been in place and has been treated as an independent operation. At first recyclable materials were piled separately, then bundled, then placed in a container, then more sophisticated containers were developed having multiple or divided containment areas. The problem with multiple bin units was that the contents had to be emptied into different larger containers making this a difficult task that required lifting all the contents and blocking the contents that were not to be dumped into the larger container. Residential recycle bin designs have been constrained by cost and lifting weight. The higher demand for separation of different recyclables has resulted in the need for two or more bins. Simple bins have been made stackable to reduce space and carts have been made to move the bins to the curb.

Recycle bins are presently a simple rectangular box with an edge for lifting and drain holes in the bottom since there is no cover from rain or snow. The size is determined by the lifting weight for the worst case scenario of wet newspaper. This bin requires bending to lift and must be held away

0307462860

from legs due to filth on the bin or rainwater that drips out of tilted cans and jars. This is very difficult especially for seniors or people with back problems. Recycle bins with wheels have not been commercially successful due to the maximum lifting weight does not allow the height of the container to reach an ergonomically suitable height to wheel the container. If the maximum size container was stretched to that height of about 34 inches free standing, then the base would be too small to be stable. The conventional 32 gallon wheeled refuse containers are already disliked by the collection workers for their instability and their narrow wheel base of the cylindrical shaped containers makes them difficult to roll. This has lead to square based containers to increase the wheel base and to prevent rolling in the wind when empty, even though the cylindrical shape provides greater structural integrity and simplicity of manufacture. The larger 60 gallon containers will not be emptied by the collection workers due to their excessive weight. Refuse and recycle containers that have dividers vertically such as in US Patent 4834253 to Crine are too difficult to dump because the entire weight must be lifted while only one portion is dumped and the remainder portions blocked.

The recycling cart of US patent 5,192,092 to DIBENEDETTO (1991) is an expensive item by itself that would have to be unloaded and taken away from the curb or risk theft. The cart would then be brought back to the curb to pick up the empty bins. The cart still requires bins of which the owner may already have. The owner's bins may not fit the cart since recycle bins vary in dimension thus making universal cart manufacture difficult. The bins must also be secured and unsecured to the cart for rolling. The cart and bin of US patent 4,821,903 to Hayes (1988) has the same problems of expense and theft but bins do not require securing. The bins are however custom made and are expensive to make and unsuitable for newspaper that would require "sliding in" instead of being "tossed on a pile".

The stackable refuse system of US patent 5,445,397 to EVANS (1994) offers a more economically viable solution for a multiple bin unit. It also has the advantage of nesting which allows for efficient distribution. It also has the advantage of forming a rigid entity from several bins by means of a flexible strap with a clasp, thus providing the required ergonomic height for tilt and rolling and reducing the effective lifting weight upon detachment. However the method of securing to form a rigid entity is not a system that secures individual bins to each other (adjoining bins) but rather a strap that compresses a number of bins and wheel assembly to form a single rigid entity that can be tilted. The wheels must be added by a separate wheel assembly because there is no individual means of securing wheels to of bottom bin or added by the alternate embodiment, of a cart, to overcome this problem. The wheels are not integral with the bottom bin resulting in additional user labor. The preferred embodiment has lids because if stacked without them it would result in the unit sloping over the wheels. The alternative embodiment uses an expensive metal tubing cart which would require the lids as well to avoid the sloping problem but then allows for the elimination of the flexible strap and having to bend over to pick it up. This design cannot be blow moulded. The wheel base of the preferred embodiment will not nest within itself thus adding to the distribution cost, and the metal frame cart is even more cumbersome to ship. The lids add expense and require the additional labor of securing or removal upon dumping and then reassembly.

Wheeled objects that are tilted for rolling have never been secured together from their free standing position to form a single entity wheeled cart whether hitched or castor wheeled. Two wheel tilt and roll containers or any similar tilt and roll device, such as a luggage container, has never been hitched at the top end nor has there been a way to tilt the devices after being hitched. Trains of containers have been made for commercial application using hitched or linked dollies. These systems have the following disadvantages: requires independent dolly, the dolly has 4 wheels for stability, some or all of the wheels must have the ability to swivel to allow the train to go

09872914-060101

around corners, the castor wheels are small to keep reduce cost as well as reduce over all height to minimize vertical instability. The small wheels and instability limited the terrain to smooth indoor surfaces due to the inability for small wheels and swivel castors to roll over large bumps. The operator must be in a bent over position including the work and hardware to connect the containers to dollies. This work must be undone and redone if there are any steps or stairs because the container dolly units cannot move independently in the vertical direction and the upper lateral movement is aggravated due to the height of the container since they are free to move independently at the top. Unless all 4 of the castors on the dollies are swivel type, the direction of train movement cannot be reversed and containers must be locked to the dollies thus limiting versatility.

SUMMARY

In accordance with the present invention a tiltable modular recycle container system comprising a new use for handles to form a rigid entity from independent adjoining containers, and a means to bring combinations of refuse and recycle containers to the curb in a single trip by hitching them at the top for transport in a tilted position.

OBJECTS AND ADVANTAGES

Accordingly, besides the objects and advantages of the tiltable modular recycle container system described in my above patent, several objects and advantages of the present invention are:

- (a) to provide a means to bring the refuse containers with the recycle bins to, as well as from the curb in a single trip.
- (b) to provide a low cost multiple bin container that has a large overall weight capacity but can be tilted and rolled to the curb and then easily detached to reduce the effective lifting weight and direct sorted contents to separate destinations.
- (c) to provide a recycle container that is secured to, or rests on, future or presently existing wheeled refuse containers in order to eliminate one of two trips as well as having to carry the recycle container in situations where only one recycle container is required.
- (d) provide improved resting and rolling stability due to the larger bottom and wider wheel base by overcoming the codependent lifting weight /ergonomic rolling height constraint that determines the bottom size and wheel base width by means of dividing the container to reduce the effective lifting weight.
- (e) to provide a new use for handles, which are presently used to lock the lid on refuse containers, for use in securing recycle bins on new and existing refuse containers, securing independent refuse and / or recycle bins into a rigid entity that can be tilted and rolled, and as well as part of a hitch that allows the containers to be tilted from the rest position while remaining hitched.
- (f) to eliminate the need and expense of an independent cart for holding and moving recycle bins by securing independent recycle containers together to form a single rigid container that fulfills the function of the cart which can be tilted and rolled.
- (g) wheeled objects that are tilted for rolling, secured together from their free standing position, to form a single entity wheeled cart using hitch or castor wheels for turning means, eliminates wheels and allows one to increase the capacity but still reduce effective lifting weight by unsecuring.
- (h) to reduce the number of parts such as lids, flexible straps with clasps, independent dollies with small wheels and casters, carts and the means for securing all of the mentioned parts.
- (i) to reduce and simplify operations and the number of operations for securing and unsecuring parts such as lids, flexible straps with clasps, independent dollies with small wheels and casters, and carts.

(j) to provide a system that can be added to existing refuse containers and modified to meet changing weekly needs such as alternating type of recycle pick up, and long term changing capacity needs.

(k) the wheeled containers will nest in each other and the recycle containers will nest in each other and the wheeled containers will also nest in the recycle containers and the lower size of recycle containers can partially nest in the upper size of recycle container, thus being able to form a single pile of any number of sizes of recycle containers with the wheeled containers reducing shipping costs and distributor shelf space even for small quantities.

(l) provide the ability to deposit recyclable materials without having to remove lid, lift door, or dismantle while still providing covering from rain and snow.

(m) provide low cost, easy to engage handles, that have a positive lock and are not subject to orientation of container placed on top of them, and have an ergonomic advantage of for lifting as well as all the advantages listed in the US 4,691,840 FERBRACHE patent.

(n) provide a container system that could also function as a yard cart or general use cart.

(o) to provide a design of recycle bin that can be blow moulded.

Still further objects and advantages will become apparent from a consideration of the ensuing description and drawings.

DRAWING FIGURES

Fig 1 shows an existing 32 gallon Rubbermaid refuse container with a recycle container secured on top of it and a two bin recycle container hitched to it.

Fig 2 shows the cross section of the preferred embodiment of the interface of the wheeled recycle bin and the upper recycle bin, secured by the Ferbrache style of handles

Fig 2A shows the cross section of the preferred embodiment of the interface of the upper recycle bin and the upper recycle bin lid, secured by the Ferbrache style of handles

Fig 3 shows the cross section of an existing 32 gallon Rubbermaid refuse container with the preferred embodiment a refuse container recycle bin on top of it to be used when a single recycle bin is to be transported to the road without carrying it.

Fig 4 shows the preferred embodiment of the hitch that would be used when connecting Ferbrache style of handles to existing refuse containers or recycle bin combinations.

Fig 5 shows an alternative embodiment of a telescope fit using a sufficiently long interface between the upper container and the lower container.

Fig 6 shows an alternative embodiment of a recycle bin that slides in a lower container using a groove

Fig 7 shows an alternative embodiment of securing the containers together using over center type of locking handles

Fig 8 shows an alternative embodiment of securing the containers together using handles with a moulded latch to form a rigid entity.

Fig 9 shows three existing 32 gallon Rubbermaid refuse containers hitched together in the rest position

Fig 10 shows three existing 32 gallon Rubbermaid refuse containers hitched together with the lead container in the rest position.

Fig 11 shows three existing 32 gallon Rubbermaid refuse containers hitched together in the tilted free standing position.

05872914-060101

DESCRIPTION - FIG 1- Preferred Embodiment

A preferred embodiment of the present invention of the tiltable modular recycle container system is illustrated hitched to an existing 32 gallon Rubbermaid refuse container with a single recycle bin secured on top of it. This system allows for a single trip to the curb regardless of how many recycle bins or refuse containers are required without any carrying. The wheeled recycle bin 1 is preferably blow moulded or may be injection moulded, from the appropriate plastic for the type of manufacturing process used. The wheels 8 are located on the pulling side providing stability in the vertical rest position and a means for rolling near the center of gravity while tilted or moving. . Refuse container lid 9 rests on the refuse container recycle bin 3. The flanged opening 7 has the flange protruding outward and allows items to be place in without having to lift the upper recycle bin 2. For indoor storage the upper recycle bin 2 would have no opening in order to control odors. The handles 4 are used to secure the wheeled recycle bin 1 to the upper recycle bin 2, upper recycle bin 2 to the upper recycle bin lid 5, and the refuse container 10 to the refuse container recycle bin 3. The handle 4 of the refuse container 10 is in the unsecured position and is hitched to the handle of the upper recycle bin 2 by the hitch 6.

FIG 2

Fig 2 shows the cross section of the preferred embodiment of the interface of the wheeled recycle bin 1 and the upper recycle bin 2 secured by the handle 4. Details of the handle can be found in US 4,691,840 FERBRACHE patent. The upper recycle bin 2 resting position is determined horizontally by alignment edge 15 and vertically by support face 14. The tooth projections 16 prevent the upper recycle bin from separating from the wheeled recycle bin by extending into indent 11 and restricting the movement, in the vertical direction, of base of indent 12. The grip 21 of the handle 4 allows lifting and releasing by rotating about stud projection 18 which pivots in mounting boss 20 to overcome resistance fit between lobe projections 17 and receptacle base recesses 19.

FIG 2A

Fig 2A shows the cross section of the preferred embodiment of the interface of the upper recycle bin 2 and the upper recycle bin lid 5 secured by the handle 4. Details of the handle can be found in US 4,691,840 FERBRACHE patent. The upper recycle bin 2 resting position is determined horizontally by alignment edge 15 and vertically by support face 14. The tooth projections 16 prevent the upper recycle bin lid 5 from separating from the wheeled recycle bin by extending into lid indent 11A and restricting the movement, in the vertical direction, of lid base of indent 12A. The grip 21 of the handle 4 allows lifting and releasing by rotating about stud projection 18 which pivots in mounting boss 20 to overcome resistance fit between lobe projections 17 and receptacle base recesses 19.

FIG 3

Fig 3 shows the cross section of an existing 32 gallon Rubbermaid refuse container 10 with the preferred embodiment a refuse container recycle bin 3 on top of it to be used when a single recycle bin is to be transported to the road without carrying it. The refuse container recycle bin 3 is secured by the handle 4. Details of the handle can be found in US 4,691,840 FERBRACHE patent. The refuse container recycle bin 3 resting position is determined horizontally by indent flange 24 and vertically by indent resting face 25 and resting shoulder 26. The tooth projections 16 prevent the refuse container recycle bin 3 from separating from the refuse container 10 by extending into indent flange 24 and restricting movement in the vertical direction of indent locking face 23. The

09672914-050101

grip 21 of the handle 4 allows lifting and releasing by rotating about stud projection 18 which pivots in mounting boss 20 to overcome resistance fit between lobe projections 17 and receptacle base recesses 19.

FIG 4

Fig 4 shows the preferred embodiment of the hitch 6 that would be used when connecting handles 4 of existing refuse containers 10 to each other or to upper recycle bin 2 that are secured to wheeled recycle bin 1 or any combination thereof. The hitch 6 consists of two identical clasps 37 that can rotate relative to each other about rivet with washer 31 in the plane of the pivot face 34. The rivet with washer 31 hold the clasps 37 together through the countersink holes 36 which allow grip 21 of handle 4 to rotate freely in the clasp groove 33. The grip 21 is secured in the clasp groove 33 between the inner clasp projection 35 and the outer clasp projection 38 by the spring action of flexible groove body 39. The release grip 32 allows pressure to be applied for unhitching of each clasp 37.

FIG 5 Alternative embodiment

Fig 5 shows an alternative embodiment using a telescope fit by providing a sufficiently long interface at the slide lock interface 46 between the upper container 44 and the lower container 45 to create a rigid entity for tilting.

FIG 6 Alternative Embodiment

Fig 6 shows an alternative embodiment of an upper recycle bin 2 which is secured, in the vertical direction, to the lower container 45 by insertion of base of indent 12 and rest face 13 into groove 47. The groove is parallel to the axis of the wheels 8 and perpendicular to the axis of the wheels on the non-insertion side of the groove where it connects the two parallel adjoining sides.

FIG 7 Alternative Embodiment

Fig 7 shows an alternative embodiment of securing, in the vertical direction, the lower container 45 to the upper container 44 by holding tooth 49 which is secured vertically and horizontally by the components of the over center holding device 48.

FIG 8 Alternative Embodiment

Fig 8 shows an alternative embodiment of securing the lower container 45 to the upper container 44 in the vertical direction by moulded latch 51 and locking edge 50. The release handle 53 is used to unsecure by applying an upward force on the gripping edge 52.

FIG 9

Fig 9 shows three refuse containers 10 hitched together in the rest position. Lead container 10L has the handle 4 which is adjacent to the wheels, secured to one of the clasps 37 of the hitch 6. The other clasp 37 is secured to the handle 4 of the center container 10C which is located over the wheels 8. Center container 10L has the handle 4 which is adjacent to the wheels 8 secured to one of the clasps 37 of the hitch 6. The other clasp 33 is secured to the handle 4 of the center container 10R which is located over the wheels 8.

FIG 10

Fig 10 shows the three refuse containers 10 of FIG 9 with the lead container 10L in the rest position with the center container 10C and rear container 10R in the tilted position. Handle 4 of lead container 10L which is adjacent to the wheels 8 is supported by its own side at the handle tilted rest point 64 of the Center container 10C.

FIG 11

Fig 11 shows the three refuse containers 10 of FIG 9 with the lead container 10L in the tilted free standing position also with the center container 10C and rear container 10R in the tilted position. Handle 4 of lead container 10L which is adjacent to the wheels 8 is supported by its own side at the handle tilted rest point 64 of the center container 10C and the lower corner of the lead container 10L which is adjacent to the wheels 8 is supported by the container tilted free standing point 65.

Reference Numerals In Drawings

- 1 wheeled recycle bin
- 2 upper recycle bin
- 3 refuse container recycle bin
- 4 handles (existing prior art Ferbrache US 4,691,840)
- 5 upper recycle bin lid
- 6 hitch
- 7 flanged opening
- 8 wheels
- 9 refuse container lid (for Rubbermaid 32 gallon refuse container existing prior art)
- 10 refuse container (Rubbermaid 32 gallon refuse container existing prior art)
- 10L lead container (Rubbermaid 32 gal refuse container existing prior art)
- 10C center container (Rubbermaid 32 gal refuse container existing prior art)
- 10R rear container (Rubbermaid 32 gal refuse container existing prior art)
- 11 indent
- 11A lid indent
- 12 base of indent
- 12A lid base of indent
- 13 rest face
- 13A lid rest face
- 14 support face
- 15 alignment edge
- 16 tooth projection
- 17 lobe projection
- 18 stud projection
- 19 receptacle base recesses
- 20 mounting boss
- 21 grip (portion of Ferbrache style handle also used for hitch)
- 22 assembly apertures
- 23 indent locking face
- 24 indent flange
- 25 indent resting face
- 26 resting shoulder

05672914-060101

- 31 rivet with washer
- 32 release grip
- 33 clasp groove
- 34 pivot face
- 35 inner clasp projection
- 36 countersink hole
- 37 clasp
- 38 outer clasp projection
- 39 flexible groove body
- 44 upper container
- 45 lower container
- 46 slide lock interface
- 47 groove
- 48 over center holding device
- 49 holding tooth
- 50 locking edge
- 51 moulded latch
- 52 gripping edge
- 53 release handle
- 64 handle tilted rest point
- 65 container tilted free standing point

ADVANTAGES

From the description above, a number of advantages of my tilted modular recycle container system become evident:

- a) securing individual containers directly to each other to form a single rigid entity, rather than a strap that compresses a number of bins and wheel assembly together, is much simpler to use.
- b) a low cost simple, versatile system for home and commercial use
- c) easily adapted to owner's existing refuse container and future changing needs
- d) hitch is easily secured to the handles
- e) hitch(s) can remain secured during; the trip from the curb, park and loading, and back to the curb, without having to be unsecured
- f) the refuse container recycle bin allows for situations when only one recycle bin is required or alternating collection is practiced
- g) the rivet with washer 31 of the hitch allows for turns greater than 90 degrees
- h) the design of the clasp 37 transfers the load through the hitch while minimizing the force required for securing and unsecuring handles.
- i) the multiple hitched containers can be tilted one at a time and left free standing tilted while be filled and parked
- j) recycle bins can be blow moulded allowing for superior performance, lower cost plastics with lower tooling cost, and will also nest in each other.
- k) the new use for a proven reliable, and practical handle wins the confidence of the consumer.
- l) the improved stability due to the wider wheel base that can be obtained by forming a single rigid entity from smaller units.
- m) light weight contents are secured from blowing away and kept dry by coverings.

The manner of operation of a handle 4 for securing containers on top of each other is similar to the present use for securing lids 9 to the Rubbermaid 32 gallon refuse container 10 and is described in detail in the Ferbrache US 4,691,840 patent. In the secured position, the handle 4 is rotated about stud projection 18 in an upward and inward direction towards the center vertical axis of the wheeled recycle bin 1 until the tooth projection 16 is touching the indent 11. In the unsecured position the handle 4 is rotated about stud projection 18 in an outward and downward direction towards the center vertical axis of the wheeled recycle bin 1 until the handle rests on the container wall, or hangs freely.

The placement of the upper recycle bin lid 5 on the upper recycle bin 2 is different from cylindrical refuse container lid 9 placement in that it the sides of the upper recycle bin lid 5 must also be aligned with the sides of the upper recycle bin 2. The upper recycle bin lid 5 is lowered so that it's lower portion nests inside the alignment edge 15 of the upper recycle bin 2 and the lid rest face 13A rests on the support face 14. Handles 4 that were initially in the unsecured position may now be moved to the secured position.

The hitching of refuse containers 10 and tiltable modular recycle containers, and multiple combinations thereof, requires the same operation. The containers are easier to hitch when in the free standing vertical rest position but may also be hitched while one or both are tilted and when handles 4 are secured or not secured. The hitch 6 is placed preferably on the lower radius of the grip 21 so that the axial center of one of the clasps 37 is aligned with the axial center of the grip 21 and the outer clasp projection 38 and the inner clasp projection 35 rest on radius of the grip 21. The other clasp 37 is preferably located furthest from tooth projections 16. The clasp 37 and grip 21 are squeezed together, between fingers and palm, causing the flexible groove body 39 to straighten, increasing the distance between the inner clasp projection 35 and the outer clasp projection 38, until they pass the maximum outer diameter of the grip 21 after which clasp groove 39 will seat itself along the grip 21 as flexible groove body 39 returns to its natural unstressed shape. The clasp 37 is now free to rotate about the grip 21. The other unsecured clasp is similarly secured on the grip 21 of the container to be hitched. The unhitching of containers requires a force applied, preferably with the thumb, to release grip 32 in a direction tangential to the circumference of the grip 21 while supporting the handle 4 with fingers of hand or other hand.

The tilting of independent containers is preferably achieved by placing one's foot in front of the base of the wheeled side of the container and pulling the container lid toward one's body with one hand holding the grip 21 of the handle 4 with the other hand. Hitched containers are tilted the same manner but preferably the rear container 10R is first tilted by placing one's foot in front of the wheeled side of it and pushing with foot while unsecuring handle 4 of the center container 10C adjacent to the wheels 8, and rolling the rear container 10R away from the center container 10C until the unsecured handle 4 is at handle tilted rest point 64. The center container 10C is tilted second, after tilting rear container 10R, in the same manner, and then the lead container 10L is tilted.

CONCLUSIONS, RAMIFICATIONS AND SCOPE

Accordingly, the reader will see that the tiltable modular recycle bin system of this invention fulfills a long felt need for a low cost container system to bring refuse and a number of recyclables to the curb in a single trip without carrying. The invention of forming a single rigid entity from adjoining independent units allows tilted transport while overcoming the lifting constraints and eliminating the cart. This coincides with new use for the Ferbrache style of handles as a means for securing containers to form a single rigid entity, single recycle bins on the top of refuse containers, and hitching tiltable containers at the top. This would also include such things as tiltable luggage or suit cases that are wheeled and general purpose utility carts for indoor and outdoor use.

Thus the scope of the invention should be determined by the appended claims and their legal equivalents, rather than by the examples given.

09872314-050404